PTO/SB/97 (08-09)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Papenyork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

To:

Liu, Hong, Examiner

Art Unit: 1624

US Patent & Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA

Fax Number: 703/872-9306

From:

Grant D. Green, Reg. No. 31,259

Roche Palo Alto LLC,

3431 Hillview Ave., Palo Alto, CA, Fax No.: 650/ 855-5322

Total Pages: 8 (Including cover)

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office

on July 7, 2004.

(Date)

Christina Myers

(Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper).

Documents Attached:

S/N: 09/844,061, filed: 04/26/01, inventor: Krauss, Nancy Elisabeth, Docket: R0070B-REG.

- Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (5 pgs)
- 2. Copy of Notice dated June 7, 2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1,8. The Information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.8 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application from to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Docs No. 124147v2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	First Named Inventor	Nancy Elisabeth Krauss
Address to:	Application Number	09/844,061
Commissioner for Patents	Filing Date	April 26, 2001
PO Box 1450	Group Art Unit	
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	Examiner	
	Attorney Docket No.	R0070B-REG
	Title	p-(Sulfonyi)aryl and
	}	Heteroarylamines as Anti-
	1	Inflammatory Agents

RESPONSE

Sir

This communication responds to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed on June 7, 2004. Applicants point out that the submission to which the Notice responds was a Petition to Revive an application, and that the amendment attached is only a copy of the amendment originally filed (but not entered) in October 2002. Thus, the current amendment practice should not apply. Further, a copy of all claims then pending was attached as Appendix B to the submission. However, in the spirit of cooperation, Applicants provide herewith a copy of the full claim set as currently pending.

The text of the *amended* claims was provided with the RCE submission: Applicants herewith supply a copy only of the complete (clean) claim set, pursuant to the Notice.